## STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA

#### BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY

IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPLAINT BY THE SOUTH DAKOTA BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY AGAINST MINAXI PATEL

#### FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

## FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Respondent was issued Certificate No. 1685 by the South Dakota Board of Accountancy (the "Board") on June 10, 1993.

2. Pursuant to SDCL 36-20B-23 and ARSD 20:75:03:03 a certificate holder must renew a certificate by August 1 of each year.

3. Respondent has failed to timely renew the Certificate issued by the Board.

4. Respondent was given notice in writing by the Board on June 16,

2008, and August 14, 2008, that the Respondent's Certificate must be renewed by August 1.

5. Respondent was given additional notice on September 15, 2008, and October 16, 2008, that Respondent's Certificate had lapsed for failure to renew the Certificate issued by the Board.

6. Respondent was sent, by certified mail, a Notice of Intent to Revoke Certificate and to Enter Order on October 28, 2008.

7. Respondent has not responded to the Board's Notice of Intent to Revoke Certificate and to Enter Order.

Respondent has not renewed Certificate No. 1685, nor has
Respondent notified the Board of Respondent's intent not to renew Certificate
No. 1685 or surrender Certificate No. 1685 to the Board.

9. ARSD 20:75:03:02 requires an individual not choosing to renew a certificate to "notify the Board prior to the expiration of that certificate and surrender the certificate to the Board immediately upon its expiration."

10. Pursuant to ARSD 20:75:03:02, an individual choosing not to renew a certificate who does not notify the Board or surrender their certificate is deemed to have a lapsed certificate and may not continue to perform accounting services in the State of South Dakota.

11. Respondent's failure to maintain compliance with the requirements for renewal of a certificate constitutes a violation of SDCL 36-20B-40(3).

# CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. The Board has jurisdiction over this matter.

2. The legal authority and jurisdiction under which the Respondent's Certificate is subject to revocation is SDCL 1-26 and 36-20B.

The Respondent has failed to comply with SDCL §§ 36-20B-40(3);
36-20B-23; ARSD 20:75:03:02 and 20:75:03:03.

4. Respondent's Certificate No. 1685 is subject to revocation based on Respondent's failure to comply with certificate renewal requirements.

#### ORDER

Based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, it is hereby

2

ORDERED that Respondent's South Dakota Certificate, Certificate

No. 1685, is hereby revoked.

Dated this  $11^{\pm 1}$  day of December, 2008.

Martin L. Guindon Board Vice Chairman S.D. Board of Accountancy

pld1211\_ssw(dh)